

Remarks

In the Final Office Action dated January 21, 2010, the following grounds of rejection are presented: claims 1-8 and 10-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Joseph (U.S. Patent No. 6,993,645); and claim 9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the '645 reference in view of Perlman (U.S. Patent No. 7,200,859). Applicant traverses all of the rejections and, unless explicitly stated by the Applicant, does not acquiesce to any objection, rejection or averment made in the Advisory Action or the Office Action(s) of record.

Applicant appreciates the courtesy extended by the Examiner in the brief telephone interview of April 15, 2010. The following characterization of the interview is provided as agreed to at the conclusion of the interview and as consistent with M.P.E.P. § 713.04. In this interview, the cited '645 reference was discussed relative to claims 1 and 2 of the instant application. In particular, '645 reference teaches that content displayed before the boot sequence completes is retrieved from a local ROM 19. A separate content access, after the boot sequence completes, is used in connection with the network interface. Accordingly, it was generally agreed that the '645 reference did not teach accessing multimedia content over a network until after booting completes. It was also generally agreed that limitations directed to specific access over the network would overcome the outstanding rejections.

Applicant maintains the traversals of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(e) and 103(a) for the reasons presented in the previous responses, which are fully incorporated herein. Notwithstanding, and with a specific aim towards facilitating prosecution, Applicant has made facilitating amendments directed toward network access of multimedia content that occurs before booting completes. Applicant has also introduced new claims 17-19, which are directed towards further embodiments of network access as discussed and fully supported in Applicant's specification. As the cited references do not teach or suggest correspondence to each limitation, including accessing multimedia content over a network while booting, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections be withdrawn and the application be allowed.

In view of the remarks above, Applicant believes that each of the rejections/objections has been overcome and the application is in condition for allowance. Should there be any remaining issues that could be readily addressed over the telephone, the Examiner is asked to contact the agent overseeing the application file, David Schaeffer of NXP Corporation at (212) 876-6170.

Please direct all correspondence to:

Corporate Patent Counsel
NXP Intellectual Property & Standards
1109 McKay Drive; Mail Stop SJ41
San Jose, CA 95131

CUSTOMER NO. 65913

By: 

Robert J. Crawford
Reg. No.: 32,122
Shane O. Sondreal
Reg. No.: 60,145
(NXPS.488PA)